

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/192,735	Applicant(s) Conklin et al.	
Examiner Susanna Meinecke-Díaz	Group Art Unit 2765	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Susanna Meinecke-Díaz

(3) Eric Stamber

(2) Maureen Stretch

(4) Jeffrey Conklin

Date of Interview Feb 22, 2000

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

E-Comm Builder

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: ~~None~~ All

Identification of prior art discussed:

~~None~~ Silverman et al. (5,924,082) & Ferstenberg et al. (5,873,071)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The claims should be amended to more explicitly recite what the Applicant argues to be the patentable distinction of his invention over the prior art. In particular, the following distinctions will be emphasized: automation of negotiations, iterative negotiations, notification of any state changes, & context-based negotiations. Furthermore, for the terms which are more difficult to define, the Applicant should provide support for how these terms should be interpreted based on the specification. ^{the clarification of}

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Eric Stamber

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.